UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,075	07/26/2005	Xavier Reutenauer	Q87458	6944
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 03/17/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ELDRED, JOHN W	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/533,075	REUTENAUER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOHN Woodrow ELDRED	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
·—	, 					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		3 3.3.2.3.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	·					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority arraor oo e.e.g. 110(a)	(4) 51 (1).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
		on No				
_ .						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04282005. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
1 apoi 140(3)/ivian Date <u>04202000</u> .						

Application/Control Number: 10/533,075 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3, it is not clear if "a Carpentier leaf spring joint" is the same Carpentier leaf spring joint as claimed in base claim 1.

In claim 6, "in particular satellite" is vague and since it is not clear if a "satellite" is a required limitation.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claim 3, it is not clear how a wiring harness can have the "same mechanical properties" as a Carpentier leaf spring joint. This would require that weight, dimensions, density, elasticity, strength, etc. must all be identical between the two elements and it is not clear from the disclosure or claims how this requirement is to be met.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/533,075 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 2, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either one of Duperray et al (2001/0037538) or Flament (5,133,518).

Both references disclose all claimed subject matter. Duperray et al discloses stacked satellite solar panels (paragraph 29) being supported and deployed by Carpentier type leaf spring joints 1. Flament disclose stacked satellite solar panels 4, 5 that are being supported and deployed by Carpentier leaf spring joints (column 11, lines 42-49). Note that the claim 1 limitation of "said hinge is formed exclusively of a Carpentier leaf spring joint" does not prevent the panels from having other joints which are not Carpentier type joints.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Duperray et al (2001/0037538) or Flament (5,133,518) in view of Meurer et al (6,543,725).

Duperray et al and Flament each fails to disclose that the power of the solar panels are routed between panels by a wiring harness using a material having the "same mechanical properties" as a Carpentier leaf spring joint. Meurer et al teach that it is known to have a spring type device 14 to connect the wiring of the panels. In view of the above 112 rejections, this teaching of spring properties is considered to meet the claim language. Motivation to combine is the substitution of known panel wiring connection means for unspecified means. To employ the teachings of Meurer et al on the panels of either

Art Unit: 3641

Duperray et al or Flament and have a spring type connection is considered to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

9. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN Woodrow ELDRED whose telephone number is (571)272-6901. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John Woodrow Eldred/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641